arXiv:0905.2893v1 [math.AP] 18 May 2009

QUASINEUTRAL LIMIT OF THE ELECTRO-DIFFUSION MODEL ARISING IN ELECTROHYDRODYNAMICS

FUCAI LI

Abstract. The electro-diffusion model, which arises in electrohydrodynamics, is a coupling between the Nernst-Planck-Poisson system and the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. For the generally smooth doping profile, the quasineutral limit (zero-Debye-length limit) is justified rigorously in Sobolev norm uniformly in time. The proof is based on the elaborate energy analysis and the key point is to establish the uniform estimates with respect to the scaled Debye length.

1. Introduction and Main Results

In this paper we consider a model describing ionic concentrations, electric potential, and velocity field in an electrolytic solution. This model is a coupling between the Nernst-Planck-Poisson system and the Navier-Stokes equations [18, 17, 2, 14]. The (rescaled) system takes the form

$$n^\lambda_t = \mathrm{div}(\nabla n^\lambda - n^\lambda \nabla \Phi^\lambda - n^\lambda v^\lambda), \tag{1.1}$$

$$p^\lambda_t = \mathrm{div}(\nabla p^\lambda + p^\lambda \nabla \Phi^\lambda - p^\lambda v^\lambda), \tag{1.2}$$

$$\lambda^2 \Delta \Phi^\lambda = n^\lambda - p^\lambda - D(x), \tag{1.3}$$

$$v^\lambda_t + v^\lambda \cdot \nabla v^\lambda + \nabla \pi^\lambda - \mu \Delta v^\lambda = (n^\lambda - p^\lambda)\nabla \Phi^\lambda, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\mathrm{div} v^\lambda = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

with initial data

$$n^\lambda(x,0) = n^\lambda_0(x), \quad p^\lambda(x,0) = p^\lambda_0(x), \quad v^\lambda(x,0) = v^\lambda_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^3, \tag{1.6}$$

where $\mathbb{T}^3$ is the periodic domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$, n^λ and p^λ denote the negative and positive charges respectively, Φ^λ the electric field, v^λ the velocity of the electrolyte, and π^λ the fluid pressure. The parameter $\lambda > 0$ denotes the scaled Debye length and $\mu > 0$ the dynamic viscosity. $D(x)$ is a given function and models the doping profile.

Usually in electrolytes the Debye length is much smaller compared the others quantities, and the electrolytes is almost electrically neutral. Under the assumption of space charge neutrality, i.e. $\lambda = 0$, we formally arrive at the following quasineutral Nernst-Planck-Navier-Stokes system

$$n_t = \mathrm{div}(\nabla n + n\mathcal{E} - nv), \tag{1.7}$$

$$p_t = \mathrm{div}(\nabla p - p\,\mathcal{E} - pv), \tag{1.8}$$

Date: May 18, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B25, 35B40, 35Q30, 76W05.

Key words and phrases. electro-diffusion model, Nernst-Planck-Poisson system, incompressible Navier-stokes equations, quasineutral limit, weighted energy functional.

$$n - p - D(x) = 0, \tag{1.9}$$

$$v_t + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \pi - \mu \Delta v = -(n-p)\mathcal{E}, \tag{1.10}$$

$$\mathrm{div} v = 0, \tag{1.11}$$

where we assume that the limits $n^\lambda \to n$, $p^\lambda \to p$, $v^\lambda \to v$, $-\nabla\Phi^\lambda \equiv E^\lambda \to \mathcal{E}$ exist as $\lambda \to 0^+$.

The purpose of this paper is to justify the above limit rigorously for sufficiently smooth solutions to the system (1.1)-(1.5).

Since the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations (1.4)-(1.5) are involved in the system (1.1)-(1.5), it is well known that whether the global classical solution for general initial data exists or not is open for three spatial dimensional case and only local classic solution is available. For example, in [14], Jerome studied the Cauchy problem of the system (1.1)-(1.5) and established the local existence of unique smooth solution for smooth initial data. The local existence of unique smooth solution to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations can be obtained by standard method, see [12, 19].

The local existence of unique smooth solution to the limiting system (1.7)-(1.11) with initial smooth data

$$n(x,t=0) = n_0(x), \quad p(x,t=0) = p_0(x), \quad v(x,t=0) = v_0(x) \tag{1.12}$$

can be obtained by the similar arguments to those stated in [14]. Since we are interested in the quasineutral limit of the system (1.1)-(1.5), we omit the detail here.

In this paper we assume that the doping profile is a smooth (sign-changing) function and the initial data $n_0^\lambda(x), p_0^\lambda(x)$ and $v_0^\lambda(x)$ are smooth functions satisfying

$$\int (n_0^\lambda(x) - p_0^\lambda(x) - D(x))dx = 0, \qquad \int v_0^\lambda(x)dx = 0. \tag{1.13}$$

The main result of this paper can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $(n^\lambda, p^\lambda, E^\lambda, v^\lambda)$, $E^\lambda = -\nabla\Phi^\lambda$ *be the unique local smooth solution to the system* (1.1)-(1.5) *with initial data* (1.6) *on* $\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T_*)$ *for some* $0 < T_* \le \infty$. *Let* $(n, p, \mathcal{E}, v), \mathcal{E} = -\nabla\Phi$ *be the unique smooth solution to the limiting system* (1.7)-(1.11) *with initial data* (1.12) *on* $\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T_0)$ *for some* $0 < T_0 \le +\infty$ *satisfying* $n + p \ge \kappa_0 > 0$, *where* κ_0 *is a positive constant. Suppose that initial data satisfy* (1.13) *and*

$$n_0^\lambda(x) = n_0(x), \quad p_0^\lambda(x) = p_0(x) + \lambda^2 \mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}(t=0), \quad v_0^\lambda(x) = v_0(x). \tag{1.14}$$

Then, for any $T \in (0, \min\{T_0, T_*\})$, *there exist positive constants* K *and* $\lambda_0, \lambda_0 \ll 1$, *such that, for any* $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0\le t\le T} \Big\{ &||(\tilde{n}^\lambda, \tilde{p}^\lambda, \tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{v}^\lambda)(t)||_{H^1} + ||(\tilde{n}_t^\lambda, \tilde{p}_t^\lambda, \tilde{v}_t^\lambda)(t)||_{L^2} \\ &+ \lambda||\tilde{E}^\lambda(t)||_{H^2} + \lambda||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda(t)||_{H^1} \Big\} \le K\lambda^{1-\sigma/2} \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

for any $\sigma \in (0,2)$, *independent of* λ. *Here* $\tilde{n}^\lambda = n^\lambda - n, \tilde{p}^\lambda = p^\lambda - p, \tilde{E}^\lambda = E^\lambda - \mathcal{E}$, *and* $\tilde{v}^\lambda = v^\lambda - v$.

Remark 1.1. In this paper we deal with the three spatial dimensional case, if the problem (1.1)-(1.5) is considered in two dimensional space, both the problem (1.1)-(1.5) and the limiting problem (1.7)-(1.11) enjoy global smooth solutions, thus we can obtain a similar result to that stated in Theorem 1.1 (in fact much easier).

Remark 1.2. If the assumption (1.14) does not hold, we need to consider the initial layers. On the other hand, if we consider the system (1.1)-(1.5) on the smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$, the boundary layers may appear. These issues will be studied in the future.

The main difficulty in dealing with the quasineutral limits is the oscillatory behavior of the electric field (the Poisson equation becomes an algebraic equation in the limit). Usually it is difficult to obtain uniform estimates on the electric field with respect to the Debye length λ due to a possible vacuum set of density. To overcome this difficulty, we introduce the following λ-weighted Lyapunov-type functionals

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^\lambda(t) \equiv & ||(\tilde{z}^\lambda, \nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda, \Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda, \tilde{z}^\lambda_t, \nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda_t)||^2 + ||(\tilde{v}^\lambda, \nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda, \Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda, \tilde{v}^\lambda_t, \nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda_t)||^2 \\ & + \lambda^2||(\tilde{E}^\lambda, \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda, \nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{E}^\lambda_t, \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t)||^2 + ||(\tilde{E}^\lambda, \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2 \qquad (1.16)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$G^\lambda(t) \equiv ||(\Delta\tilde{z}_t, \Delta\tilde{v}_t, \tilde{E}^\lambda_t, \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t)||^2_{L^2} + \lambda^2||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2, \qquad (1.17)$$

where $\tilde{z}^\lambda = \tilde{n}^\lambda + \tilde{p}^\lambda$, $\tilde{n}^\lambda = n^\lambda - n, \tilde{p}^\lambda = p^\lambda - p, \tilde{v}^\lambda = v^\lambda - v, \tilde{E}^\lambda = E^\lambda - \mathcal{E}$ and $(\tilde{n}^\lambda, \tilde{p}^\lambda, \tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{v}^\lambda)$ denotes the difference between the solution to the system (1.1)-(1.5) and the solution to the limiting system (1.7)-(1.11), see Section 2 below for details. By a careful energy method, we can prove the following entropy production integration inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^\lambda(t) + \int_0^t G^\lambda(s)ds \leq & K\,\Gamma^\lambda(t=0) + K\lambda^q + K(\Gamma^\lambda(t))^r + K\int_0^t \Gamma^\lambda(s)G^\lambda(s)ds \\ & + K\int_0^t \left[\Gamma^\lambda(s) + (\Gamma^\lambda(s))^l\right]ds, \quad t \geq 0 \qquad (1.18)\end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants q, r, K and l, independent of λ, which implies our desired convergence result by the assumption of small initial data $\Gamma^\lambda(0)$.

Remark 1.3. The inequality (1.18) is a generalized Gronwall's type with an extra integration term where the integrand function is the production of the entropy and the entropy-dissipation. Hence (1.18) is called as the entropy production integration inequality.

Remark 1.4. The λ-weighted Lyapunov-type functional (1.16) and (1.17) is motivated by [10, 21], where the quasineutral limit of drift-diffusion-Poisson model for semiconductor was studied. However, in our case the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations are involved and the more refined energy analysis is needed. We believe that those λ-weighted Lyapunov-type energy functionals can also be used to deal with the quasineutral limit problem of other mathematical models involving in Navier-Stokes equations, for example, the mathematical model for the deformation of electrolyte droplets:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(u_t + u\cdot\nabla u) + \pi &= \nu\Delta u + (n-p)\nabla V - \nabla\cdot(\nabla\phi\otimes\nabla\phi), \\ \nabla\cdot u &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_t + u \cdot \nabla n &= \nabla \cdot (D_n \nabla n - \mu_n n \nabla V + M n \nabla \phi), \\ p_t + u \cdot \nabla p &= \nabla \cdot (D_p \nabla p - \mu_p p \nabla V + M p \nabla \phi), \\ \nabla \cdot (\lambda \nabla V) &= n - p, \\ \phi_t + u \cdot \nabla \phi &= \gamma(\Delta \phi - \eta^{-2} W'(\phi)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma, \nu, \eta, D_n, D_p, \mu_n, \mu_p$ and M are positive constants, see [16] for the detailed description on this model.

We point out that the quasineutral limit is a well-known challenging and physically complex modeling problem for fluid dynamic models and for kinetic models of semiconductors and plasmas and other fields. In both cases, there only exist partial results. For time-dependent transport models, the limit $\lambda \to 0$ has be performed for the Vlasov-Poisson system by Brenier [1] and Masmoudi [13], and for the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system by Hsiao et al [8, 9], respectively. For the fluid dynamic model, the drift-diffusion-Poisson system is investigate by Gasser et al [6, 7] and Jüngel and Peng [15], and for the Euler-Poisson system by Cordier and Grenier [3] and Wang [20]. Recently, Wang et al [21, 10, 23] extends some results cited above for the general doping profiles, the main idea is to control the strong nonlinear oscillations caused by small Debye length by the interaction of the physically motivated entropy and the entropy dissipation. For the Navier-Stokes-Poisson system, Wang [20, 22] obtained the convergence of the Navier-Stokes-Poisson system to the incompressible Euler equations. Ju et al [11] obtained the convergence of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes-Poisson system to the strong solutions of incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. Donatelli and Marcati [4] studied the quasineutral-type limit for the Navier-Stokes-Poisson system with large initial data in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^3$ through the coupling of the zero-Debye-length limit and the low Mach number limit.

We mention that there are a few other mathematical results on the system (1.1)-(1.5). Jerome [14] obtained the inviscid limit ($\mu \to 0$) of the system (1.1)-(1.5). Cimatti and Fragalà [2] obtained the unique weak solution to the system (1.1)-(1.5) with Neumann boundary condition and the asymptotic behavior of solution when it is a small perturbation of the trivial solution for the stationary problem. Feireisl [5] studied the system (1.1)-(1.5) in periodic case without the diffusion terms in the first two equations and obtained the existence of weak solution.

Before ending this introduction, we give some notations. We denote $||\cdot||$ the standard L^2 norm with respect to x, H^k the standard Sobolev space $W^{k,2}$, and $||\cdot||_{H^k}$ the corresponding norm. The notation $||(A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n)||^2$ means the summation of $||A_i||^2, i = 1, \cdots, n$, and it also applies to other norms. We use c_i, δ_i, ϵ, K_ϵ, K_i, and K to denote the constants which are independent of λ and may be changed from line to line. We also omit in integral spatial domain $\mathbb{T}^3$ for convenience. In Section 2, we give some basic energy estimates of the error system, and the proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 3.

2. THE ENERGY ESTIMATES

In this section we obtain some energy estimates needed to prove our result. To this end, we first derive the error system from the original system (1.1)-(1.5) and the limiting system (1.7)-(1.11) as follows. Setting $\tilde{n}^\lambda = n^\lambda - n, \tilde{p}^\lambda = p^\lambda - p, \tilde{v}^\lambda = v^\lambda - v, \tilde{\pi}^\lambda = \pi^\lambda - \pi, \tilde{E}^\lambda = E^\lambda - \mathcal{E}$ with $\tilde{E}^\lambda = -\nabla \tilde{\Phi}^\lambda, E^\lambda = -\nabla \Phi^\lambda, \mathcal{E} = -\nabla \Phi$ and

$\tilde{\Phi}^\lambda = \Phi^\lambda - \Phi$, using the system (1.1)-(1.5) and the system (1.7)-(1.11), we obtain

$$\tilde{n}_t^\lambda = \mathrm{div}(\nabla \tilde{n}^\lambda + n\tilde{E}^\lambda + \tilde{n}^\lambda(\tilde{E}^\lambda + \mathcal{E}) - \tilde{n}^\lambda(\tilde{v}^\lambda + v) - n\tilde{v}^\lambda), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\tilde{p}_t^\lambda = \mathrm{div}(\nabla \tilde{p}^\lambda - p\tilde{E}^\lambda - \tilde{p}^\lambda(\tilde{E}^\lambda + \mathcal{E}) - \tilde{p}^\lambda(\tilde{v}^\lambda + v) - p\tilde{v}^\lambda), \tag{2.2}$$

$$-\lambda^2 \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda = \tilde{n}^\lambda - \tilde{p}^\lambda + \lambda^2 \mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{v}_t^\lambda + \tilde{v}^\lambda \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda + v \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda + \tilde{v}^\lambda \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \tilde{\pi}^\lambda - \mu \Delta \tilde{v}^\lambda \\ &\quad = -(\tilde{n}^\lambda - \tilde{p}^\lambda)(\tilde{E}^\lambda + \mathcal{E}) - (n - p)\tilde{E}^\lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

$$\mathrm{div}\tilde{v}^\lambda = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Set $Z = n + p$, then (1.7)-(1.11) is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t &= \mathrm{div}(\nabla Z + D\mathcal{E} - Zv), \\ 0 &= \mathrm{div}(\nabla D + Z\mathcal{E} - Dv), \\ v_t + v \cdot \nabla v &= -\nabla \pi + \mu \Delta v - D\mathcal{E}, \\ \mathrm{div} v &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

with initial data $Z(x, 0) = n_0(x) + p_0(x)$ and $v(x, 0) = v_0(x)$.

To obtain the desired energy estimates, we introduce new error variable $\tilde{z}^\lambda = \tilde{n}^\lambda + \tilde{p}^\lambda$, by the Poisson equation (2.3), we have

$$\tilde{n}^\lambda = \frac{\tilde{z}^\lambda - \lambda^2 \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda - \lambda^2 \mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}}{2}, \qquad \tilde{p}^\lambda = \frac{\tilde{z}^\lambda + \lambda^2 \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda + \lambda^2 \mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}}{2}. \tag{2.6}$$

Thus the error system can be reduced to the following equivalent system

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{z}_t^\lambda &= \mathrm{div}(\nabla \tilde{z}^\lambda + D\tilde{E}^\lambda) - \lambda^2 \mathrm{div}(\mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda + \tilde{E}^\lambda \mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}) - \lambda^2 \mathrm{div}(\mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}) \\ &\quad - \mathrm{div}(\tilde{z}^\lambda \tilde{v}^\lambda + \tilde{z}^\lambda v) - \mathrm{div}(Z\tilde{v}^\lambda) - \lambda^2 \mathrm{div}(\tilde{E}^\lambda \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda), \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda^2[\partial_t \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda - \mathrm{div}(\nabla \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)] + \mathrm{div}(Z\tilde{E}^\lambda) \\ &\quad = -\lambda^2(\partial_t \mathrm{div}\mathcal{E} - \Delta \mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}) - \mathrm{div}(\tilde{z}^\lambda \mathcal{E}) - \mathrm{div}(\tilde{z}^\lambda \tilde{E}^\lambda) + \mathrm{div}(D\tilde{v}^\lambda) \\ &\qquad - \lambda^2 \mathrm{div}(\tilde{v}^\lambda \mathrm{div}\mathcal{E} + v\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}) - \lambda^2 \mathrm{div}(\tilde{v}^\lambda \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda + v\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda), \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{v}_t^\lambda + \tilde{v}^\lambda \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda + v \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda + \tilde{v}^\lambda \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \tilde{\pi}^\lambda - \mu \Delta \tilde{v}^\lambda \\ &\quad = \lambda^2 \tilde{E}^\lambda \mathrm{div}\mathcal{E} + \lambda^2 \mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda + \lambda^2 \mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E} - D\tilde{E}^\lambda + \lambda^2 \tilde{E}^\lambda \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

$$\mathrm{div}\tilde{v}^\lambda = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

For the sake of notional simplicity, we set $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda = (\tilde{z}^\lambda, \tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{v}^\lambda)$ and define the following λ-weighted Sobolev's norm

$$|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^2 \equiv ||(\tilde{z}^\lambda, \lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{v})||_{H^2}^2 + ||(\tilde{z}_t^\lambda, \lambda\tilde{E}_t^\lambda, \tilde{v}_t^\lambda)||_{H^1}^2 + ||\tilde{E}^\lambda||_{H^1}^2. \tag{2.11}$$

The following basic inequality can be derived from Sobolev's embedding theorem and will be used frequently in this paper.

Lemma 2.1. *For $f, g \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)$, we have*

$$||fg||_{L^2} \le ||f||_{L^4} \cdot ||g||_{L^4} \le K||f||_{H^1} \cdot ||g||_{H^1}. \tag{2.12}$$

2.1. **Low order estimates.** In this subsection, we derive the low order energy estimates from the error system (2.7)-(2.10). The first estimate is the $L^\infty_t(L^2_x)$ norm of $(\tilde{z}^\lambda, \tilde{v}^\lambda, \tilde{E}^\lambda)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + ||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2 + \lambda^2||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2 \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \big(||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + \lambda^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2 + ||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2 + ||\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2\big)(s)ds \\
&\le K(||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + ||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2 + \lambda^2||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2)(t=0) \\
&\quad + K\int_0^t \big(||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + ||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2 + |||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4\big)(s)ds + K\lambda^4. \qquad (2.13)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Multiplying (2.7) by $\tilde{z}^\lambda$ and integrating the resulting equation over $\mathbb{T}^3$ with respect to x, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + ||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 \\
&= -\int D\tilde{E}^\lambda\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda dx + \lambda^2\int \mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda dx + \int v\tilde{z}^\lambda\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda dx \\
&\quad + \lambda^2\int(\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda dx + \int Z\tilde{v}^\lambda\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda dx \\
&\quad + \int \tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{v}^\lambda\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda dx + \lambda^2\int \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda dx. \qquad (2.14)
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (2.14). For the first five terms, by Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality and using the regularity of $D, \mathcal{E}, v$ and Z, which can be bounded by

$$\epsilon||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon||(\tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{v}^\lambda, \tilde{z}^\lambda)||^2 + K_\epsilon\lambda^4||(\tilde{E}^\lambda, \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2 + K_\epsilon\lambda^4. \qquad (2.15)$$

For the sixth nonlinear term, by Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality and Sobolev's embedding $H^2(\mathbb{T}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{v}^\lambda\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda dx &\le \epsilon||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon||\tilde{v}^\lambda\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 \\
&\le \epsilon||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2_{L^\infty}||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 \\
&\le \epsilon||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2_{H^2}||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 \\
&\le \epsilon||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4. \qquad (2.16)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the last nonlinear term, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^2\int \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda dx &\le \epsilon||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon\lambda^4||\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2 \\
&\le \epsilon||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon\lambda^4||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2_{L^\infty}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2 \\
&\le \epsilon||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon\lambda^4||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2_{H^2}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2 \\
&\le \epsilon||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4. \qquad (2.17)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, putting (2.14)-(2.17) together and taking ϵ small enough, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + c_1||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 \le K||(\tilde{z}^\lambda, \tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{v}^\lambda)||^2 + K\lambda^4||(\tilde{E}^\lambda, \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2$$

$$+K|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4+K\lambda^4. \tag{2.18}$$

Multiplying (2.8) by $-\tilde{\Phi}^\lambda$ and integrating the resulting equation over $\mathbb{T}^3$ with respect to x, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+\lambda^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+\int Z|\tilde{E}^\lambda|^2dx\\
&=-\lambda^2\int(\partial_t\mathcal{E}-\Delta\mathcal{E})\tilde{E}^\lambda dx-\int\mathcal{E}\tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda dx-\lambda^2\int\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}\tilde{v}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda dx\\
&\quad-\lambda^2\int\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}v\tilde{E}^\lambda dx+\int D\tilde{v}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda dx-\lambda^2\int v\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda dx\\
&\quad-\lambda^2\int\tilde{v}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda dx-\int\tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda dx.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.19}$$

For the first six terms on the right-hand side of (2.19), by Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality and using the regularity of $\mathcal{E},v$ and D, which can be bounded by

$$\epsilon||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon||(\tilde{z}^\lambda,\tilde{v}^\lambda)||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4||(\tilde{v}^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4. \tag{2.20}$$

For the seventh nonlinear term, by Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality and Sobolev's embedding $H^2(\mathbb{T}^3)\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
-\lambda^2\int\tilde{v}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda dx&\le\epsilon||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4||\tilde{v}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\
&\le\epsilon||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2_{L^\infty}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\
&\le\epsilon||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2_{H^2}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\
&\le\epsilon||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^2|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.21}$$

Similarly, for the last nonlinear term, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-\int\tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda dx&\le\epsilon||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon||\tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\
&\le\epsilon||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2_{L^\infty}||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\
&\le\epsilon||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2_{H^2}||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\
&\le\epsilon||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.22}$$

Putting (2.19)-(2.22) together, choosing ϵ small enough, and restricting λ small enough, we get, by the positivity of Z, that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^2\frac{d}{dt}||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+2\lambda^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+c_2||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\
&\le K||(\tilde{z}^\lambda,\tilde{v}^\lambda)||^2+K|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4+K\lambda^4.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.23}$$

Multiplying (2.9) by $\tilde{v}^\lambda$ and integrating the resulting equation over $\mathbb{T}^3$ with respect to x, by (2.10) and integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+\mu||\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2\\
&=-\int D\tilde{E}^\lambda\tilde{v}^\lambda dx+\lambda^2\int\tilde{v}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}dx+\lambda^2\int\mathcal{E}\tilde{v}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda dx\\
&\quad+\lambda^2\int\tilde{v}^\lambda\mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}dx-\int(\tilde{v}^\lambda\cdot\nabla v)\tilde{v}^\lambda dx+\lambda^2\int\tilde{v}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda dx,
\end{aligned}\tag{2.24}$$

where we have used the identities

$$\int(\tilde{v}^\lambda\cdot\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda)\tilde{v}^\lambda dx=0,\qquad\int(v\cdot\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda)\tilde{v}^\lambda dx=0.$$

We estimate the terms on the right hand side of (2.24). For the first four terms, by Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality and using the regularity of D and $\mathcal{E}$, which can be bounded by

$$K||(\tilde{v}^\lambda,\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2+K\lambda^2||(\tilde{v}^\lambda,\tilde{E}^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2+K\lambda^4.\tag{2.25}$$

The fifth nonlinear term can be treated as follows

$$\int(\tilde{v}^\lambda\cdot\nabla v)\tilde{v}^\lambda dx\le K||\nabla v||_{L^\infty}||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2\le K||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2.\tag{2.26}$$

For the last nonlinear term, by Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality and Sobolev's embedding $H^2(\mathbb{T}^3)\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^2\int\tilde{v}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda dx&\le\frac{1}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+\frac{1}{2}\lambda^4||\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\&\le\frac{1}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+\frac{1}{2}\lambda^4||\tilde{E}^\lambda||_{L^\infty}^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\&\le\frac{1}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+\frac{1}{2}\lambda^4||\tilde{E}^\lambda||_{H^2}^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\&\le\frac{1}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+\frac{1}{2}|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4.\end{aligned}\tag{2.27}$$

Thus, putting (2.24)-(2.27) together, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+2\mu||\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2\le&K||(\tilde{v}^\lambda,\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2\\&+K\lambda^2||(\tilde{v}^\lambda,\tilde{E}^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2+|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4+K\lambda^4.\end{aligned}\tag{2.28}$$

Combining (2.18) and (2.23) with (2.28), and restricting λ small enough, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\delta_1||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2+\delta_2||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+\lambda^2||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\Big)+c_1\delta_1||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2\\&\quad+2\mu\delta_2||\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+\big(2\lambda^2-K(\lambda^2\delta_2+\lambda^4\delta_1)\big)||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\&\quad+\big(c_2-K(\delta_1+\delta_2)-K(\lambda^2\delta_2+\lambda^4\delta_1)\big)||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\&\le K_1||(\tilde{z}^\lambda,\tilde{v}^\lambda)||^2+K_1|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4+K_1\lambda^4\end{aligned}\tag{2.29}$$

for some δ_1 and δ_2 sufficient small, which gives the inequality (2.13). □

Next, we estimate the $L_t^\infty(L_x^2)$ norm of $(\tilde{z_t}^\lambda,\tilde{v_t}^\lambda,\tilde{E_t}^\lambda)$ by using the system (2.7)-(2.10).

Lemma 2.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&||\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2+||\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2+\lambda^2||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2\\&\quad+\int_0^t\big(||\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2+||\nabla\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2+||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2+\lambda^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2\big)(s)ds\\&\le K(||\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2+||\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2+\lambda^2||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2)(t=0)\\&\quad+K\int_0^t\big(||(\tilde{z}^\lambda,\tilde{z}_t^\lambda,\tilde{v}^\lambda,\tilde{v}_t^\lambda,\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda,\tilde{E}^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2\big)(s)ds\end{aligned}$$

$$+K\int_0^t\left\{|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4+|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^2||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2\right\}(s)ds+K\lambda^4. \tag{2.30}$$

Proof. Differentiating (2.7) with respect to t, multiplying the resulting equation by $\tilde{z}_t^\lambda$ and integrating it over $\mathbb{T}^3$ with respect to x, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2+||\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2\\&=-\int D\tilde{E}_t^\lambda\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda dx+\lambda^2\int\partial_t(\mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E})\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda dx+\int\partial_t(\tilde{z}^\lambda v)\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda dx\\&\quad+\lambda^2\int\partial_t(\mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda+\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E})\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda dx+\int\partial_t(Z\tilde{v}^\lambda)\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda dx\\&\quad+\lambda^2\int\partial_t(\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda dx+\int\partial_t(\tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{v}^\lambda)\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda dx.\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

We estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (2.31). For the first five terms, by Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality and using the regularity of $D,\mathcal{E},v$ and Z, which can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\epsilon||\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon||(\tilde{v}^\lambda,\tilde{v}_t^\lambda)||^2\\&\quad+K_\epsilon||(\tilde{z}^\lambda,\tilde{z}_t^\lambda)||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4||(\tilde{E}^\lambda,\tilde{E}_t^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda)||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4.\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

For the last two nonlinear terms, by Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality, Sobolev's embedding $H^2(\mathbb{T}^3)\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$, and the inequality (2.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda^2\int\partial_t(\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda dx+\int\partial_t(\tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{v}^\lambda)\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda dx\\&\le\epsilon||\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4||\partial_t(\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2+K_\epsilon||\partial_t(\tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{v}^\lambda)||^2\\&\le\epsilon||\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4(||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+||\tilde{E}^\lambda||_{L^\infty}^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2)\\&\quad+K_\epsilon(||\tilde{z}_t^\lambda\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+||\tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2)\\&\le\epsilon||\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4(||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||_{H^1}^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||_{H^1}^2+||\tilde{E}^\lambda||_{H^2}^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2)\\&\quad+K_\epsilon(||\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||_{H^1}^2||\tilde{v}^\lambda||_{H^1}^2+||\tilde{z}^\lambda||_{H^1}^2||\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||_{H^1}^2)\\&\le\epsilon||\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4.\end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

Putting (2.31)-(2.33) together and taking ϵ small enough, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2+c_3||\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2\\&\le K||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2+K||(\tilde{v}^\lambda,\tilde{v}_t^\lambda)||^2+K||(\tilde{z}^\lambda,\tilde{z}_t^\lambda)||^2\\&\quad+K\lambda^4||(\tilde{E}^\lambda,\tilde{E}_t^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda)||^2+K|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4+K\lambda^4.\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

Differentiating (2.8) with respect to t, multiplying the resulting equation by $-\tilde{\Phi}_t^\lambda$ and integrating it over $\mathbb{T}^3$ with respect to x, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2+\lambda^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2+\int Z|\tilde{E}_t^\lambda|^2dx\\&=-\int Z_t\tilde{E}^\lambda\tilde{E}_t^\lambda dx-\lambda^2\int\partial_t(\partial_t\mathcal{E}-\Delta\mathcal{E})\tilde{E}_t^\lambda dx-\int\partial_t(\mathcal{E}\tilde{z}^\lambda)\tilde{E}_t^\lambda dx\\&\quad-\lambda^2\int\partial_t(\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}\tilde{v}^\lambda)\tilde{E}_t^\lambda dx-\lambda^2\int\partial_t(v\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E})\tilde{E}_t^\lambda dx+\int\partial_t(D\tilde{v}^\lambda)\tilde{E}_t^\lambda dx\end{aligned}$$

$$- \lambda^2 \int \partial_t(v \mathrm{div} \tilde{E}^\lambda) \tilde{E}_t^\lambda dx - \lambda^2 \int \partial_t(\tilde{v}^\lambda \mathrm{div} \tilde{E}^\lambda) \mathrm{div} \tilde{E}_t^\lambda dx - \int \partial_t(\tilde{z}^\lambda \tilde{E}^\lambda) \tilde{E}_t^\lambda dx. \tag{2.35}$$

For the first seven terms on the right-hand side of (2.35), by Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality and using the regularity of $\mathcal{E}, v, D$ and Z, which can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &\epsilon ||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon ||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon ||(\tilde{z}^\lambda, \tilde{z}_t^\lambda)||^2 + K_\epsilon ||(\tilde{v}^\lambda, \tilde{v}_t^\lambda)||^2 \\ &\quad + K_\epsilon \lambda^4 ||(\tilde{v}^\lambda, \tilde{v}_t^\lambda)||^2 + K_\epsilon \lambda^4 ||(\mathrm{div} \tilde{E}^\lambda, \mathrm{div} \tilde{E}_t^\lambda)||^2 + K_\epsilon \lambda^4. \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

For the last two nonlinear terms on the right-hand side of (2.35) by Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality, Sobolev's embedding $H^2(\mathbb{T}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$, and the inequality (2.12), they can be estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &- \lambda^2 \int \partial_t(\tilde{v}^\lambda \mathrm{div} \tilde{E}^\lambda) \tilde{E}_t^\lambda dx - \int \partial_t(\tilde{z}^\lambda \tilde{E}^\lambda) \tilde{E}_t^\lambda dx \\ &\le \epsilon ||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon \lambda^4 ||\partial_t(\tilde{v}^\lambda \mathrm{div} \tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2 + K_\epsilon ||\partial_t(\tilde{z}^\lambda \tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2 \\ &\le \epsilon ||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon \lambda^4 (||\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 ||\mathrm{div} \tilde{E}^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 + ||\tilde{v}^\lambda||_{L^\infty}^2 ||\mathrm{div} \tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2) \\ &\quad + K_\epsilon (||\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 ||\tilde{E}^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 + ||\tilde{z}^\lambda||_{L^\infty}^2 ||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2) \\ &\le \epsilon ||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon \lambda^4 (||\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 ||\mathrm{div} \tilde{E}^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 + ||\tilde{v}^\lambda||_{H^2}^2 ||\mathrm{div} \tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2) \\ &\quad + K_\epsilon (||\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 ||\tilde{E}^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 + ||\tilde{z}^\lambda||_{H^2}^2 ||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2) \\ &\le \epsilon ||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon \big(|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4 + |||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^2 ||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 \big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

Putting (2.35)-(2.37) together, using the positivity of Z, and taking ϵ small enough, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda^2 \frac{d}{dt} ||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 + 2\lambda^2 ||\mathrm{div} \tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 + c_4 ||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 \\ &\quad \le K ||(\tilde{z}^\lambda, \tilde{z}_t^\lambda, \tilde{v}^\lambda, \tilde{v}_t^\lambda, \tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2 + K\lambda^4 ||(\mathrm{div} \tilde{E}^\lambda, \mathrm{div} \tilde{E}_t^\lambda)||^2 + K\lambda^4 ||(\tilde{v}^\lambda, \tilde{v}_t^\lambda)||^2 \\ &\quad + K \big(|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4 + |||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^2 ||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 \big) + K\lambda^4. \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

Differentiating (2.9) with respect to t, multiplying the resulting equation by $\tilde{v}_t^\lambda$, integrating it over $\mathbb{T}^3$ with respect to x and using $\mathrm{div} \tilde{v}_t^\lambda = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} ||\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2 + \mu ||\nabla \tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2 \\ &\quad = - \int \partial_t(D\tilde{E}^\lambda) \tilde{v}_t^\lambda dx + \lambda^2 \int \partial_t(\mathcal{E} \mathrm{div} \mathcal{E}) \tilde{v}_t^\lambda dx + \lambda^2 \int \partial_t(\mathcal{E} \mathrm{div} \tilde{E}^\lambda) \tilde{v}_t^\lambda dx \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 \int \partial_t(\tilde{E} \mathrm{div} \mathcal{E}) \tilde{v}_t^\lambda dx - \int \partial_t(v \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda) \tilde{v}_t^\lambda dx - \int \partial_t(\tilde{v}^\lambda \cdot \nabla v) \tilde{v}_t^\lambda dx \\ &\quad - \int \partial_t(\tilde{v}^\lambda \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda) \tilde{v}_t^\lambda dx + \lambda^2 \int \partial_t(\tilde{E}^\lambda \mathrm{div} \tilde{E}^\lambda) \tilde{v}_t^\lambda dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

We estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (2.39). By Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality and using the regularity of D and $\mathcal{E}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &- \int \partial_t(D\tilde{E}^\lambda) \tilde{v}_t^\lambda dx + \lambda^2 \int \partial_t(\mathcal{E} \mathrm{div} \mathcal{E}) \tilde{v}_t^\lambda dx \\ &+ \lambda^2 \int \partial_t(\mathcal{E} \mathrm{div} \tilde{E}^\lambda) \tilde{v}_t^\lambda dx + \lambda^2 \int \partial_t(\tilde{E} \mathrm{div} \mathcal{E}) \tilde{v}_t^\lambda dx \\ &\quad \le K (||\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2 + ||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2 + ||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2) + K\lambda^4 ||(\mathrm{div} \tilde{E}^\lambda, \mathrm{div} \tilde{E}_t^\lambda)||^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ K\lambda^4||(\tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{E}^\lambda_t)||^2 + K\lambda^4. \tag{2.40}$$

Now we deal with the trilinear terms involving $\tilde{v}^\lambda, \tilde{v}^\lambda_t$, v, and v_t. Using the identities

$$\int (\tilde{v}^\lambda \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda_t)\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx = 0, \quad \int (v \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda_t)\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx = 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\int \partial_t(\tilde{v}^\lambda \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda)\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx - \int \partial_t(v \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda)\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx - \int \partial_t(\tilde{v}^\lambda \cdot \nabla v)\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx \\ = & -\int (\tilde{v}^\lambda_t \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda)\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx - \int (v_t \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda)\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx - \int (\tilde{v}^\lambda_t \cdot \nabla v)\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx - \int (\tilde{v}^\lambda \cdot \nabla v_t)\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality, using the regularity of v and the inequality (2.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\int (\tilde{v}^\lambda_t \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda)\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx & \le \frac{1}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 + \frac{1}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda||^2 \\ & \le \frac{1}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 + \frac{K}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2_{H^1}||\nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda||^2_{H^1} \\ & \le \frac{1}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 + K|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4, \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\int (v_t \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda)\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx & \le \frac{1}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 + \frac{1}{2}||v_t \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda||^2 \\ & \le \frac{1}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 + K||\nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda||^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

$$-\int (\tilde{v}^\lambda_t \cdot \nabla v)\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx \le K||\nabla v||_{L^\infty}||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 \le K||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2, \tag{2.44}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\int (\tilde{v}^\lambda \cdot \nabla v_t)\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx & \le \frac{1}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 + \frac{1}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda \cdot \nabla v_t||^2 \\ & \le \frac{1}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 + K||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

The last nonlinear term can be treated similarly as (2.33)

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 \int \partial_t(\tilde{E}^\lambda \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx \le & \frac{1}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^4||\partial_t(\tilde{E}^\lambda \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2 \\ \le & \frac{1}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^4(||\tilde{E}^\lambda_t \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2 + ||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2_{L^\infty}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2) \\ \le & \frac{1}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^4(||\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2_{H^1}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2_{H^1} + ||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2_{H^2}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2) \\ \le & \frac{1}{2}||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 + K|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4. \end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

Putting (2.39)-(2.46) together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 + \mu||\nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 \\ & \le K||(\tilde{v}^\lambda, \nabla \tilde{v}^\lambda, \tilde{v}^\lambda_t, \tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{E}^\lambda_t)||^2 + K\lambda^4||(\tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{E}^\lambda_t, \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda, \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t)||^2 \\ & \quad + K|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4 + K\lambda^4. \end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

Combining (2.34), (2.38) and (2.47), and restricting λ small enough, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}(\delta_3||\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2+\lambda^2||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2+\delta_4||\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2)\\&\quad+2\delta_3c_3||\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2+\mu\delta_4||\nabla\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2+c_5||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2+c_6\lambda^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2\\&\quad\le K_2||(\tilde{v}^\lambda,\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda,\tilde{v}_t^\lambda,\tilde{z}^\lambda,\tilde{z}_t^\lambda,\tilde{E}^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2\\&\qquad+K_2\big(|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4+|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^2||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2\big)+K_2\lambda^4.\end{aligned}\tag{2.48}$$

for some δ_3 and δ_4 sufficient small, which gives the inequality (2.30). □

Using Lemma 2.3, we can obtain the $L_t^\infty(L_x^2)$ norm of $(\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda,\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda,\tilde{E}^\lambda,\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&||(\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda,\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda,\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2+\lambda^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\&\quad\le K||(\tilde{z}^\lambda,\tilde{z}_t^\lambda,\tilde{v}^\lambda,\tilde{v}_t^\lambda)||^2+K\lambda^2||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2+K|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4+K\lambda^4.\end{aligned}\tag{2.49}$$

Proof. It follows form (2.29) and Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}&c_1\delta_1||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2+\mu\delta_2||\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+\big(2\lambda^2-K(\lambda^2\delta_2+\lambda^4\delta_1)\big)||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\&+\big(c_2-K(\delta_1+\delta_2)-K(\lambda^2\delta_2+\lambda^4\delta_1)\big)||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\&\quad\le-\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\delta_1||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2+\delta_2||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+\lambda^2||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\Big)\\&\qquad+K_1||(\tilde{z}^\lambda,\tilde{v}^\lambda)||^2+K_1|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4+K_1\lambda^4\\&\quad\le K||(\tilde{z}^\lambda,\tilde{z}_t^\lambda,\tilde{v}^\lambda,\tilde{v}_t^\lambda)||^2+K\lambda^2||(\tilde{E}^\lambda,\tilde{E}_t^\lambda)||^2+K|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4+K\lambda^4,\end{aligned}$$

which gives (2.49) by using Lemma 2.3. □

2.2. High order estimates.

In this subsection we will establish the $L_t^\infty(L_x^2)$ of the higher order spatial derivatives $(\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda,\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda,\lambda\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)$.

Lemma 2.5. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&||\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2+||\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+\lambda^2||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\&\le K||(\tilde{z}^\lambda,\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda,\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda,\tilde{v}^\lambda,\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda,\nabla\tilde{v}_t^\lambda,\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2\\&\quad+K\lambda^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2+K|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4+K\lambda^4.\end{aligned}\tag{2.50}$$

Proof. Multiplying (2.7) by $-\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda$, integrating the resulting equation over $\mathbb{T}^3$ with respect to x, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2+||\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2\\&=-\int\mathrm{div}(D\tilde{E}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda dx+\lambda^2\int\mathrm{div}(\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}+\mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda dx\\&\quad+\lambda^2\int\mathrm{div}(\mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E})\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda dx+\int\mathrm{div}(Z\tilde{v}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda dx+\int\mathrm{div}(v\tilde{z}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda dx\\&\quad+\int\mathrm{div}(\tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{v}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda dx+\lambda^2\int\mathrm{div}(\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda dx.\end{aligned}\tag{2.51}$$

We estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (2.51). By Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality and using the regularity of $D,\mathcal{E},Z$ and v, the first two terms can be bounded by

$$\epsilon||\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon||(\tilde{E}^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4||(\tilde{E}^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda,\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2 \tag{2.52}$$

and the third, fourth and fifth terms can be bounded by

$$\epsilon||\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon||(\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda,\tilde{v}^\lambda)||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4, \tag{2.53}$$

where we use the facts that $\mathrm{div}\tilde{v}^\lambda=0$ and $\mathrm{div}v=0$. For the last two nonlinear terms, using the facts that

$$\mathrm{div}(\tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{v}^\lambda)=\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{v}^\lambda,\quad \mathrm{div}(\tilde{E}\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)=\tilde{E}^\lambda\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda+(\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)^2,$$

Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality, Sobolev's embedding $H^2(\mathbb{T}^3)\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$ and the inequality (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\mathrm{div}(\tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{v}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda dx+\lambda^2\int\mathrm{div}(\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda dx\\
&\quad\le\epsilon||\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4||\mathrm{div}(\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2\\
&\quad\le\epsilon||\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2_{L^\infty}\\
&\qquad+K_\epsilon\lambda^4(||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2_{L^\infty}||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2_{H^1}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2_{H^1})\\
&\quad\le\epsilon||\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2_{H^2}\\
&\qquad+K_\epsilon\lambda^4(||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2_{H^2}||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2_{H^1}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2_{H^1})\\
&\quad\le\epsilon||\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

Putting (2.51)-(2.54) together and choosing ϵ small enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2+c_7||\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2\\
&\quad\le K||(\tilde{E}^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2+K\lambda^4||(\tilde{E}^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda,\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2\\
&\qquad+K||(\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda,\tilde{v}^\lambda)||^2+K|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4+K\lambda^4.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.55}$$

Multiplying (2.8) by $\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda$ and integrating the resulting equation over $\mathbb{T}^3$ with respect to x, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+\lambda^2||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+\int Z|\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda|^2dx\\
&=-\int\nabla Z\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda dx-\lambda^2\int\mathrm{div}(\partial_t\mathcal{E}-\Delta\mathcal{E})\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda dx-\int\mathrm{div}(\mathcal{E}\tilde{z}^\lambda)\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda dx\\
&\quad-\lambda^2\int\tilde{v}^\lambda\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda dx-\lambda^2\int v\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda dx+\int\tilde{v}^\lambda\nabla D\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda dx\\
&\quad-\lambda^2\int v\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda dx-\lambda^2\int\tilde{v}^\lambda\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda dx-\int\mathrm{div}(\tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda)\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.56}$$

where we have used $\mathrm{div}\tilde{v}^\lambda=0$ and $\mathrm{div}v=0$. By Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality and using the regularity of $\mathcal{E},v,D$ and Z, the first seven terms on the right hand side of (2.56) can be bounded by

$$\epsilon||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon||(\tilde{E}^\lambda,\tilde{z}^\lambda,\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda,\tilde{v}^\lambda)||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4||(\tilde{v}^\lambda,\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4. \tag{2.57}$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality, Sobolev's embedding $H^2(\mathbb{T}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$, and using the inequality (2.12), the last two nonlinear terms on the right hand side of (2.56) can be treated as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\lambda^2\int \tilde{v}^\lambda\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda dx-\int \mathrm{div}(\tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda)\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda dx\\
\le&\epsilon||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4||\tilde{v}^\lambda\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon||\mathrm{div}(\tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2\\
\le&\epsilon||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2_{L^\infty}||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\
&+K_\epsilon(||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2_{L^\infty}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2_{H^1}||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2_{H^1})\\
\le&\epsilon||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2_{H^2}||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\
&+K_\epsilon(||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2_{H^2}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2_{H^1}||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2_{H^1})\\
\le&\epsilon||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon(1+\lambda^2)|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4. \qquad (2.58)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting (2.56)-(2.58) together and using the positivity of Z, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^2\frac{d}{dt}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+2\lambda^2||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2+c_8||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\
&\quad\le K||(\tilde{z}^\lambda,\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda,\tilde{E}^\lambda,\tilde{v}^\lambda)||^2+K\lambda^4||(\tilde{v}^\lambda,\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2\\
&\qquad+K(1+\lambda^2)|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4+K\lambda^4. \qquad (2.59)
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (2.9) by $-\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda$ and integrating the resulting equation over $\mathbb{T}^3$ with respect to x, by (2.10) and integrating it by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+\mu||\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2\\
&\quad=\int(v\cdot\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda dx+\int(\tilde{v}^\lambda\cdot\nabla v)\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda dx-\lambda^2\int\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda dx\\
&\quad-\lambda^2\int\mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda dx-\lambda^2\int\mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda dx+\int D\tilde{E}^\lambda\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda dx\\
&\quad-\lambda^2\int\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda dx+\int(\tilde{v}^\lambda\cdot\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda dx \qquad (2.60)
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (2.60). By Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality and using the regularity of $v,\mathcal{E}$ and D, the first six terms can be bounded by

$$\epsilon||\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon||(\tilde{v}^\lambda,\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda,\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4||(\tilde{E}^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4. \qquad (2.61)$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality, Sobolev's embedding $H^2(\mathbb{T}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$, and using the inequality (2.12), the last two nonlinear terms can be treated as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\lambda^2\int\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda dx+\int(\tilde{v}^\lambda\cdot\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda dx\\
\le&\ \epsilon||\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon||\tilde{v}^\lambda\cdot\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4||\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\
\le&\ \epsilon||\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2_{L^\infty}||\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2_{L^\infty}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\
\le&\ \epsilon||\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2_{H^2}||\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2_{H^2}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\
\le&\ \epsilon||\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2+K_\epsilon|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4. \qquad (2.62)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting (2.60)-(2.62) together and choosing ϵ small enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}||\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2 + c_{10}\mu||\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2 \le{}& K||(\tilde{v}^\lambda, \nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda, \tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2\\ &+ K\lambda^4||(\tilde{E}^\lambda, \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2 + K|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4 + K\lambda^4. \qquad (2.63)\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.55), (2.59) and (2.63), and restricting λ is small, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\delta_5||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + \lambda^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2 + \delta_6||\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2\Big)\\ &\qquad + \delta_5 c_8||\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + (2\lambda^2 - K\lambda^4\delta_5 - K\lambda^4)||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2 + \delta_6\mu c_9||\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2\\ &\qquad + (c_8 - K\delta_5(\lambda^4+1) - K\delta_6\lambda^4)||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2\\ &\le K_3||(\tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{z}^\lambda, \nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda, \tilde{v}^\lambda, \nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda)||^2 + K_3|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4 + K_3\lambda^4 \qquad (2.64)\end{aligned}$$

for some δ_5 and δ_6 sufficient small, which gives the inequality (2.50). □

In order to close the estimates on the right-hand side of (2.50), we need to obtain the uniform bounds of the time derivatives $(\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda, \nabla\tilde{v}_t^\lambda, \lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda)$, which is given by the next lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&||\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2 + \lambda^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 + ||\nabla\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2\\ &\quad + \int_0^t\big(||\Delta\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2 + ||\Delta\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2 + ||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 + \lambda^2||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2\big)(s)dx\\ &\le K\big(||\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2 + \lambda^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 + ||\nabla\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2\big)(t=0)\\ &\quad + K\int_0^t\big(||(\tilde{z}^\lambda, \tilde{z}_t^\lambda, \nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda, \nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda)||^2 + ||(\tilde{v}^\lambda, \tilde{v}_t^\lambda, \nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda, \nabla\tilde{v}_t^\lambda)||^2\big)(s)ds\\ &\quad + K\int_0^t\big(||(\tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{E}_t^\lambda, \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda, \nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2\big)(s)ds + K\int_0^t|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4(s)ds\\ &\quad + K\int_0^t\Big\{|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^2\big(||\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||_{H^2}^2 + ||\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 + ||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 + ||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2\big)\Big\}(s)ds\\ &\quad + K\lambda^2\int_0^t\Big\{|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^2\big(||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||_{H^2}^2 + ||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 + ||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2\big)\Big\}(s)ds + K\lambda^4,\end{aligned}$$

(2.65)

Proof. Differentiating (2.7) with respect to t, multiplying the resulting equation by $-\Delta\tilde{z}_t^\lambda$ and integrating it over $\mathbb{T}^3$ with respect to x, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2 + ||\Delta\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2\\ &= \int\Big\{-\mathrm{div}(D\tilde{E}_t^\lambda) + \lambda^2\partial_t[\mathrm{div}(\mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda + \tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E})] + \lambda^2\partial_t[\mathrm{div}(\mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E})]\\ &\qquad + \partial_t\mathrm{div}(\tilde{z}^\lambda v) + \partial_t[\mathrm{div}(Z\tilde{v}^\lambda)]\Big\}\Delta\tilde{z}_t^\lambda dx\\ &\qquad + \int\Big\{\partial_t[\mathrm{div}(\tilde{z}^\lambda\tilde{v}^\lambda)] + \lambda^2\partial_t[\mathrm{div}(\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)]\Big\}\Delta\tilde{z}_t^\lambda dx. \qquad (2.66)\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (2.66). By Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality and using the regularity of $D, \mathcal{E}, v$ and Z, the first integral can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\epsilon\|\Delta\tilde z_t^\lambda\|^2+K_\epsilon\|(\tilde E_t^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda)\|^2+K_\epsilon\|(\nabla\tilde z^\lambda,\nabla\tilde z_t^\lambda,\tilde v^\lambda,\tilde v_t^\lambda)\|^2\\&\quad+K_\epsilon\lambda^4\|(\tilde E^\lambda,\tilde E_t^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde E^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda,\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde E^\lambda,\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda)\|^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4,\end{aligned}\tag{2.67}$$

where we have used the facts $\mathrm{div}\tilde v^\lambda=0$ and $\mathrm{div}v=0$. For the second integral, by Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality, Sobolev's embedding $H^2(\mathbb{T}^3)\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$, the inequality (2.12), and $\mathrm{div}\tilde v^\lambda=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\Big\{\partial_t[\mathrm{div}(\tilde z^\lambda\tilde v^\lambda)]+\lambda^2\partial_t[\mathrm{div}(\tilde E^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde E^\lambda)]\Big\}\Delta\tilde z_t^\lambda dx\\&\le\epsilon\|\Delta\tilde z_t^\lambda\|^2+K_\epsilon\|\partial_t[\mathrm{div}(\tilde z^\lambda\tilde v^\lambda)]\|^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4\|\partial_t[\mathrm{div}(\tilde E^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde E^\lambda)]\|^2\\&\le\epsilon\|\Delta\tilde z_t^\lambda\|^2+K_\epsilon(\|\nabla\tilde z^\lambda\|_{H^1}^2\|\tilde v_t^\lambda\|_{H^1}^2+\|\nabla\tilde z_t^\lambda\|^2\|\tilde v^\lambda\|_{L^\infty}^2)\\&\quad+K_\epsilon\lambda^4(\|\tilde E_t^\lambda\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde E^\lambda\|^2+2\|\mathrm{div}\tilde E^\lambda\|_{H^1}^2\|\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda\|_{H^1}^2+\|\tilde E^\lambda\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda\|^2)\\&\le\epsilon\|\Delta\tilde z_t^\lambda\|^2+K_\epsilon(\|\nabla\tilde z^\lambda\|_{H^1}^2\|\tilde v_t^\lambda\|_{H^1}^2+\|\nabla\tilde z_t^\lambda\|^2\|\tilde v^\lambda\|_{H^2}^2)\\&\quad+K_\epsilon\lambda^4(\|\tilde E_t^\lambda\|_{H^2}^2\|\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde E^\lambda\|^2+2\|\mathrm{div}\tilde E^\lambda\|_{H^1}^2\|\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda\|_{H^1}^2+\|\tilde E^\lambda\|_{H^2}^2\|\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda\|^2)\\&\le\epsilon\|\Delta\tilde z_t^\lambda\|^2+K_\epsilon|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4+K_\epsilon\lambda^2|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^2\big(\|\tilde E_t^\lambda\|_{H^2}^2+\|\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda\|_{H^1}^2+\|\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda\|^2\big).\end{aligned}\tag{2.68}$$

Thus, by putting (2.66)-(2.68) together and taking ϵ to be small enough, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\tilde z_t^\lambda\|^2+c_{10}\|\Delta\tilde z_t^\lambda\|^2\\&\le K\|(\tilde E_t^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda)\|^2+K_\epsilon\|(\nabla\tilde z^\lambda,\nabla\tilde z_t^\lambda,\tilde v^\lambda,\tilde v_t^\lambda)\|^2\\&\quad+K\lambda^4\|(\tilde E^\lambda,\tilde E_t^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde E^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda,\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde E^\lambda,\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda)\|^2\\&\quad+K|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4+K\lambda^2|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^2\big(\|\tilde E_t^\lambda\|_{H^2}^2+\|\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda\|_{H^1}^2+\|\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda\|^2\big)+K\lambda^4.\end{aligned}\tag{2.69}$$

Differentiating (2.8) with respect to t, multiplying the resulting equation by $\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda$ and integrating it over $\mathbb{T}^3$ with respect to x, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda\|^2+\lambda^2\|\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda\|^2+\int Z|\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda|^2dx\\&\quad=-\int\big(\partial_t(\nabla Z\tilde E^\lambda)+Z_t\mathrm{div}\tilde E^\lambda\big)\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda dx-\lambda^2\int\partial_t[\partial_t\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}-\Delta\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}]\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda dx\\&\qquad-\int\partial_t[\mathrm{div}(\tilde z^\lambda\mathcal{E})]\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda dx-\lambda^2\int\partial_t[\mathrm{div}(\tilde v^\lambda\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E}+v\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E})]\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda dx\\&\qquad+\int\partial_t[\mathrm{div}(D\tilde v^\lambda)]\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda dx-\lambda^2\int\partial_t[\mathrm{div}(v\mathrm{div}\tilde E^\lambda)]\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda dx\\&\qquad-\int\partial_t[\mathrm{div}(\tilde z^\lambda\tilde E^\lambda)]\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda dx-\lambda^2\int\partial_t[\mathrm{div}(\tilde v^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde E^\lambda)]\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda dx\end{aligned}\tag{2.70}$$

We estimate each term on the right-hand side of (2.70). Noticing $\mathrm{div}\tilde v^\lambda=0$ and $\mathrm{div}v=0$, by Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality, using the regularity of $Z,\mathcal{E},v$ and D, the first six terms can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\epsilon\|\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda\|^2+K_\epsilon\|(\tilde E^\lambda,\tilde E_t^\lambda,\mathrm{div}\tilde E^\lambda)\|^2+K_\epsilon\|(\tilde z^\lambda,\tilde z_t^\lambda,\nabla\tilde z^\lambda,\nabla\tilde z_t^\lambda)\|^2\\&\quad+K_\epsilon\|(\tilde v^\lambda,\tilde v_t^\lambda)\|^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4\|(\tilde v^\lambda,\tilde v_t^\lambda)\|^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4\|(\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde E^\lambda,\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde E_t^\lambda)\|^2+K_\epsilon\lambda^4.\end{aligned}\tag{2.71}$$

For the last two nonlinear terms, by Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality, Sobolev's embedding $H^2(\mathbb{T}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$, the inequality (2.12) and $\mathrm{div}\tilde{v}^\lambda = 0$, they can be estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int \partial_t[\mathrm{div}(\tilde{z}^\lambda \tilde{E}^\lambda)]\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t dx - \lambda^2 \int \partial_t[\mathrm{div}(\tilde{v}^\lambda \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)]\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t dx \\
&\leq \epsilon||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2 + K_\epsilon||\partial_t[\mathrm{div}(\tilde{z}^\lambda \tilde{E}^\lambda)]||^2 + K_\epsilon\lambda^4||\partial_t[\mathrm{div}(\tilde{v}^\lambda \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)]||^2 \\
&\leq \epsilon||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2 + K_\epsilon\big(||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2_{L^\infty}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2 + ||\tilde{z}^\lambda_t||^2_{L^\infty}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2 + ||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2_{H^1}||\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2_{H^1} \\
&\quad + ||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda_t||^2_{H^1}||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2_{H^1}\big) + K_\epsilon\lambda^4(||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2_{L^\infty}||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2 + ||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2_{L^\infty}||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2) \\
&\leq \epsilon||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2 + K_\epsilon\big(||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2_{H^2}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2 + ||\tilde{z}^\lambda_t||^2_{H^2}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2 + ||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2_{H^1}||\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2_{H^1} \\
&\quad + ||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda_t||^2_{H^1}||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2_{H^1}\big) + K_\epsilon\lambda^4(||\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2_{H^2}||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2 + ||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2_{H^2}||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2) \\
&\leq \epsilon||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2 + K_\epsilon|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^2(||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2 + ||\tilde{z}^\lambda_t||^2_{H^2} + ||\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2_{H^1} + ||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda_t||^2_{H^1}\big) \\
&\quad + K_\epsilon\lambda^2|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^2\big(||\tilde{z}^\lambda_t||^2_{H^2} + ||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2\big) \qquad (2.72)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting (2.70)-(2.72) together, using the positivity of Z, and taking ϵ small enough, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^2\frac{d}{dt}||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2 + 2\lambda^2||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2 + c_{11}\int|\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t|^2 dx \\
&\leq K||(\tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{E}^\lambda_t, \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2 + K_\epsilon||(\tilde{z}^\lambda, \tilde{z}^\lambda_t, \nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda, \nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda_t)||^2 \\
&\quad + K||(\tilde{v}^\lambda, \tilde{v}^\lambda_t)||^2 + K\lambda^4||(\tilde{v}^\lambda, \tilde{v}^\lambda_t)||^2 + K\lambda^4||(\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda, \nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t)||^2 \\
&\quad + K|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^2(||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2 + ||\tilde{z}^\lambda_t||^2_{H^2} + ||\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2_{H^1} + ||\nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda_t||^2_{H^1}\big) \\
&\quad + K\lambda^2|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^2\big(||\tilde{z}^\lambda_t||^2_{H^2} + ||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t||^2\big) + K\lambda^4. \qquad (2.73)
\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (2.9) with respect to t, multiplying the resulting equation by $-\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda_t$, integrating it over $\mathbb{T}^3$ with respect to x and using $\mathrm{div}\tilde{v}^\lambda_t = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 + \mu||\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 \\
&= \int\partial_t(v\cdot\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx + \int\partial_t(\tilde{v}^\lambda\cdot\nabla v)\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx + \int\partial_t(D\tilde{E}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx \\
&\quad - \lambda^2\int\partial_t(\mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E})\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx - \lambda^2\int\partial_t(\mathcal{E}\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx - \lambda^2\int\partial_t(\tilde{E}\mathrm{div}\mathcal{E})\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx \\
&\quad + \int\partial_t(\tilde{v}^\lambda\cdot\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx - \lambda^2\int\partial_t(\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx. \qquad (2.74)
\end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality and using the regularity of v, D and $\mathcal{E}$, the first six terms on the right-hand side of (2.74) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\epsilon||\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 + K_\epsilon||(\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda, \nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda_t, \tilde{v}^\lambda, \tilde{v}^\lambda_t, \tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{E}^\lambda_t)||^2 \\
&\quad + K_\epsilon\lambda^4||(\tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{E}^\lambda_t)||^2 + K_\epsilon\lambda^4||(\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda, \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda_t)||^2 + K_\epsilon\lambda^4. \qquad (2.75)
\end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality, Sobolev's embedding $H^2(\mathbb{T}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$, and using the inequality (2.12), the last two nonlinear terms on the right-hand side of (2.74) can be treated as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\partial_t(\tilde{v}^\lambda\cdot\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx - \lambda^2\int\partial_t(\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda_t dx \\
&\leq \epsilon||\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda_t||^2 + K_\epsilon||\partial_t(\tilde{v}^\lambda\cdot\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda)||^2 + K_\epsilon\lambda^4||\partial_t(\tilde{E}^\lambda\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\le \epsilon||\Delta\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon(||\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||_{H^1}^2||\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 + ||\tilde{v}^\lambda||_{L^\infty}^2||\nabla\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2)\\
&\quad + K_\epsilon\lambda^4(||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||_{H^1}^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 + ||\tilde{E}^\lambda||_{L^\infty}^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2)\\
&\le \epsilon||\Delta\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon(||\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||_{H^1}^2||\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 + ||\tilde{v}^\lambda||_{H^2}^2||\nabla\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2)\\
&\quad + K_\epsilon\lambda^4(||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||_{H^1}^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 + ||\tilde{E}^\lambda||_{H^2}^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2)\\
&\le \epsilon||\Delta\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2 + K_\epsilon|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4. \qquad (2.76)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by putting (2.74)-(2.76) together and taking ϵ to be small enough, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}||\nabla\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2 + \mu c_{12}||\Delta\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2\\
&\le K||(\nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda, \nabla\tilde{v}_t^\lambda, \tilde{v}^\lambda, \tilde{v}_t^\lambda, \tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{E}_t^\lambda)||^2 + K\lambda^4||(\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda, \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda)||^2\\
&\quad + K\lambda^4||(\tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{E}_t^\lambda)||^2 + K|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4 + K\lambda^4. \qquad (2.77)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.69), (2.73) and (2.77), and restricting λ is small, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\delta_7||\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2 + \lambda^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 + \delta_8||\nabla\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2\Big) + \delta_7 c_{10}||\Delta\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2 + \delta_8\mu c_{12}||\Delta\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2\\
&\quad + (2\lambda^2 - K\lambda^4 - \delta_7 K\lambda^4)||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 + (c_{12} - \delta_7 K - K\lambda^4(\delta_7+\delta_8))||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2\\
&\le K_4||(\tilde{z}^\lambda, \tilde{z}_t^\lambda, \nabla\tilde{z}^\lambda, \nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda)||^2 + K_4||(\tilde{v}^\lambda, \tilde{v}_t^\lambda, \nabla\tilde{v}^\lambda, \nabla\tilde{v}_t^\lambda)||^2\\
&\quad + K_4||(\tilde{E}^\lambda, \tilde{E}_t^\lambda, \mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda, \nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda)||^2 + K_4|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^4\\
&\quad + K_4|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^2\big(||\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||_{H^2}^2 + ||\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 + ||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 + ||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2\big)\\
&\quad + K_4\lambda^2|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda|||^2\big(||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||_{H^2}^2 + ||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||_{H^1}^2 + ||\nabla\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2\big) + K_4\lambda^4, \qquad (2.78)
\end{aligned}$$

for some δ_7 and δ_8 sufficient small, which give the inequality (2.65). □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we will use the energy estimates obtained in Section 2 to establish the entropy production integration inequality and compete the proof of our main result. First, under the assumption of Theorem 1.1, by the standard elliptic regularity estimates, we have

$$||\tilde{z}^\lambda||_{H^2}^2 \le K(||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + ||\Delta\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2), \qquad (3.1)$$

$$||\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||_{H^2}^2 \le K(||\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2 + ||\Delta\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2), \qquad (3.2)$$

$$||\tilde{v}^\lambda||_{H^2}^2 \le K(||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2 + ||\Delta\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2), \qquad (3.3)$$

$$||\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||_{H^2}^2 \le K(||\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2 + ||\Delta\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2), \qquad (3.4)$$

$$||\tilde{E}^\lambda||_{H^s}^2 \le K(||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2 + ||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}^\lambda||_{H^{s-1}}^2), s = 1, 2, \qquad (3.5)$$

$$||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||_{H^s}^2 \le K(||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 + ||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||_{H^{s-1}}^2), s = 1, 2. \qquad (3.6)$$

By the definitions of $\Gamma^\lambda(t)$ and $|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda(t)|||$ (see the definitions (1.16) and (2.11) above) and using the inequalities (3.1)-(3.6), it is easy to verify that there exist two constants K_1 and K_2, independent of λ, such that

$$K_1|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda(t)|||^2 \le \Gamma^\lambda(t) \le K_2|||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\lambda(t)|||^2. \qquad (3.7)$$

Using the inequalities (2.13),(2.30),(2.49),(2.50), and (2.65), we can obtain the new inequality $[(2.13) + \delta(2.30) + \delta^2(2.49)] + \delta^3[\delta(2.50) + (2.65)]$. By taking δ small enough, restricting λ sufficient small, and a tedious but straightforward computation, we obtain the following relative entropy production integration inequality

$$\Gamma^\lambda(t) + K\int_0^t G^\lambda(s)ds \le K\bar{\Gamma}^\lambda(t=0) + K(\Gamma^\lambda(t))^2 + K\lambda^4 + K\int_0^t \Gamma^\lambda(s)G^\lambda(s)ds \\ + K\int_0^t \left\{\Gamma^\lambda(s) + (\Gamma^\lambda(s))^2\right\}(s)ds, \tag{3.8}$$

where $G^\lambda(t)$ is defined by (1.17) and

$$\bar{\Gamma}^\lambda(t=0) = \left[||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + ||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2 + \lambda^2||\tilde{E}^\lambda||^2 + ||\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2 + ||\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2 + \lambda^2||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2\right](t=0) \\ + (||\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2 + \lambda^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 + ||\nabla\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2)(t=0). \tag{3.9}$$

The inequality (3.8) is a generalized Gronwall's type with an extra integration term, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that*

$$\bar{\Gamma}^\lambda(t=0) \le \bar{K}\lambda^2, \tag{3.10}$$

where $\bar{K}$ *is a positive constant, independent of* λ. *Then for any* $T \in (0, T_{\max})$, $T_{\max} \le +\infty$, *there exists a positive constant* $\lambda_0 \ll 1$ *such that for any* $\lambda \le \lambda_0$ *the inequality*

$$\Gamma^\lambda(t) \le \bar{K}\lambda^{2-\sigma} \tag{3.11}$$

holds for any $\sigma \in (0,2)$ *and* $0 \le t \le T$.

Since the proof of Lemma 3.1 is similar to that of Lemma 10 in [10], we omit it here and continue our proof of Theorem 1.1. In order to apply Lemma 3.1, we need to verify (3.10). In fact, by the assumptions (1.14) on the initial data $(n_0^\lambda, p_0^\lambda, v_0^\lambda)$, we get $\tilde{E}^\lambda(t=0) = 0$ since the solution involved here is smooth, in particular, the solution and its derivatives are continuous with respect to x and t. Then, by using the assumption (1.14), $\tilde{E}^\lambda(t=0) = 0$, the continuity of the solution and its derivatives, and the equations (2.7)-(2.10), we get

$$\left[||\tilde{z}^\lambda||^2 + ||\tilde{v}^\lambda||^2 + ||\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2 + ||\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2 + \lambda^2||\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2\right](t=0) \\ + (||\nabla\tilde{z}_t^\lambda||^2 + \lambda^2||\mathrm{div}\tilde{E}_t^\lambda||^2 + ||\nabla\tilde{v}_t^\lambda||^2)(t=0) \le \bar{K}\lambda^2,$$

which gives the inequality (3.10). Thus, by Lemma 3.1, the inequality (3.11) holds. We easily get the estimate (1.15) by the definition of $\Gamma^\lambda(t)$, the inequality (3.11), and the transform (2.6), which complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements The author would like to express his gratitude to Dr. Jishan Fan for his valuable suggestions and careful reading of the first draft of this paper. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant 10501047).

References

[1] Y. Brenier, Convergence of the Vlasov-Poisson system to the incompressible Euler equations, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 25 (2000), 737-754.

[2] G. Cimatti, I. Fragalà, Invariant regions for the Nernst-Planck equations. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 175(1998), 93-118.

[3] S. Cordier, E. Grenier, Quasineutral limit of an Euler-Poisson system arising from plasma physics, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 23 (2000), 1099-1113.

[4] D. Donatelli, P. Marcati, A quasineutral type limit for the Navier-Stokes-Poisson system with large data. Nonlinearity 21(2008), no. 1, 135–148.

[5] E. Feireisl, Weak solutions to a non-linear hyperbolic system arising in the theory of dielectric liquids. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 18(1995), 1041-1052.

[6] I. Gasser, L. Hsiao, P. Markowich, S. Wang, Quasineutral limit of a nonlinear drift-diffusion model for semiconductor models, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 268 (2002), 184-199.

[7] I. Gasser, C.D. Levermore, P. Markowich, C. Schmeiser, The initial time layer problem and the quasineutral limit in the semiconductor drift-diffusion model, European J. Appl. Math. 12 (2001), 497-512.

[8] L. Hsiao, F. C. Li, S. Wang, Convergence of the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system to the incompressible Euler equations, Sci. China Ser. A 49 (2006), 255-266.

[9] L. Hsiao, F. C. Li, S. Wang, Coupled quasineutral and inviscid limit of the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system, Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis, 7(2008), no.3, 579-589.

[10] L. Hsiao, S. Wang, Quasineutral limit of. a time-dependent drift-diffusion-Poisson model for *pn* junction semiconductor devices, J. Differential Equations, 225(2006), 411-439.

[11] Q. C. Ju, F. C. Li, S. Wang, Convergence of Navier-Stokes-Poisson system to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, J. Math. Phys. 49(2008) 073515.

[12] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow. Second English edition, revised and enlarged. Translated from the Russian by Richard A. Silverman and John Chu. Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2 Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris 1969

[13] N. Masmoudi, From Vlasov-Poisson system to the incompressible Euler system, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 26 (2001), 1913-1928.

[14] J. W. Jerome, Analytical approaches to charge transport in a moving medium. Transport Theory Statist. Phys. 31 (2002), 333-366.

[15] A. Jüngel, Y.-J. Peng, A hierarchy of hydrodynamic models for plasmas: quasi-neutral limits in the drift-diffusion equations, Asymptot. Anal. 28 (2001), 49-73.

[16] R. Ryham, C. Liu, L. Zikatanov, Mathematical models for the deformation of electrolyte droplets, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-Series B, 8(2007), 649-661.

[17] T. Roubíček, Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations with Applications, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2005.

[18] I. Rubinstein, Electro-Diffusion of Ions, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1990.

[19] R. Temam, Navier-Stokes equations. Theory and numerical analysis. Reprint of the 1984 edition. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2001.

[20] S. Wang, Quasineutral limit of Euler-Poisson system with and without viscosity, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 29 (2004), 419-456.

[21] S Wang, Quasineutral limit of the multi-dimensional drift-diffusion-Poisson model for semiconductor with *pn*-junctions, Math. Models Meth. Appl. Sci., 16(2006), 737-57.

[22] S. Wang, S. Jiang. The convergence of the Navier-Stokes-Poisson system to the incompressible Euler equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 31(2006), 571–591.

[23] S Wang, Z. P. Xin, P. A. Markowich, Quasineutral limit of the drift diffusion models for semiconductors: The case of general sign-changing doping profile, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 37 (2006), 1854-1889.

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, P.R. China
E-mail address: `fli@nju.edu.cn`